

15th ALL INDIA REVIEW MEETING ON MPLADS

22nd AUGUST, 2012

AGENDA PAPERS

MPLADS DIVISION

**MINISTRY OF STATISTICS & PROGRAMME
IMPLEMENTATION**

Contents

Sl.No.	Subject	Page No.
I-Agenda	Agenda items for discussion	
(1)	Slow pace of execution of works including the time gap between recommendation, sanction, completion of works and delay in sending Utilisation Certificates and Audit Certificates to the Ministry. Physical and financial monitoring of works under MPLADS.	1
(2)	Sanctioning projects on entitlement basis without waiting for fund release for the year.	1-2
(3)	Recent Reform Circulars – Review of actions on these by Districts/State Governments.	2
(4)	Updating the information in Works Monitoring System (WMS) on real time basis.	2
(5)	Single e-portal for MPLADS.	2-3
(6)	Closing of 14 th Lok Sabha accounts and old Rajya Sabha accounts and distribution of unspent balance.	3-4
(7)	Shelf of projects to be made available to the MPs by the District Authorities.	4-5
(8)	Holding of regular MPLADS review meetings at State and District level, calling Members of Parliament to these meetings and sending the minutes to the MOSPI.	5
(9)	Review of various Constituencies of Lok Sabha and Nodal Districts of Rajya Sabha MPs especially the better and under performing nodal districts.	5
(10)	Review of Physical/Financial performance of the scheme with special emphasis on delay in implementation and non-submission of complete/correct documents by the District Authorities.	6
(11)	Action on CAG Report-latest Action Taken Note by States to be discussed	6-7
(12)	Pending Tsunami works, Bihar Floods, AILA, Sikkim/Darjeeling Earthquake, Gujarat Earthquake and Leh cloudburst.	7-10
(13)	Any other item	10

II - Annexures			
	Annexure- A	II installment 2010-11 not released – List of Districts	11-16
	Annexure - B	I Installment 2012-13 Released – List of Districts	17-22
	Annexure – C	Recent modifications in MPLADS Guidelines	23-29
	Annexure – D	Status of Pendency of C&AG Audit Paras	30-31
	Annexure – E	Letter No. C-42/2011-MPLADS dt. 2 nd July, 2012	32-34

DETAILED AGENDA FOR THE ALL INDIA REVIEW MEETING ON IMPLEMENTATION OF MPLADS WITH NODAL SECRETARIES OF THE STATE GOVT./UT ADMINISTRATIONS TO BE HELD AT 10.30 A.M. ON 22nd AUGUST, 2012.

1. Slow pace of execution of works including the time gap between recommendation, sanction, completion of works and delay in sending Utilisation Certificates and Audit Certificates to the Ministry. Physical and financial monitoring of works under MPLADS.

The following time limit has been prescribed in the MPLADS guidelines for each stage of work after recommendation of the work by the concerned Member of Parliament:-

i) Verifying eligibility of work and informing the MP of ineligible works.....
.....45 days (**para 3.5**)

ii) Sanction of recommended eligible works75 days (**para 3.12**)

iii) Further, Para 3.13 of the MPLADS Guidelines states that the sanction letter/order shall stipulate a time limit for completion of the works which should generally not exceed one year. In exceptional cases, where the implementation time exceeds one year, specific reasons for the same shall be incorporated in the sanction letter/order.

However, the above noted time limits are not being adhered to by the District Authorities and about 90% of the works are delayed at the scrutiny, approval and implementation stage by the District Authorities and/or Implementing Agencies. In many cases, even after completion of works, the works completion certificate is not submitted in time by the implementing agencies and /or the utilisation certificates are not furnished in time, which delays further release of funds and slows down the pace of implementation.

It is also noticed that there is wide variation between recommendations, sanctions and release of funds due to slow implementation on the ground /slow expenditure, which blocks further release of funds. States/District Authorities may like to comment and suggest solutions in this regard.

2. Sanctioning projects on entitlement basis without waiting for fund release for the year.

One oft repeated complaint of the Members of Parliament is very slow implementation of work due to non release of funds despite MPs being entitled for the funds during that financial year, as many District Authorities are not

sanctioning works without physical availability of funds in the MPLADS accounts. Attention is invited to our recent letter No. C-42/2011-MPLADS Dated 2.7.2012 wherein MOSPI has impressed upon State Governments that as MPLADS funds are non-lapsable, sanctioned works may be executed without availability of funds from MOSPI to the District Authorities as funds would in any case flow later on. This will ensure faster execution of projects, and faster release of subsequent instalments to the greater satisfaction of MPs. States may apprise the progress made in this behalf by them. **ANNEXURE E**

3. Recent Reform Circulars – Review of actions on these by Districts/State Governments.

Recent modifications made in the MPLADS Guidelines may be seen at **Annexure C.**

4. Updating the information in Works Monitoring System (WMS) on real time basis.

Work Monitoring Software (WMS) was launched by the Ministry in Nov. 2004 to enhance transparency and accountability under the Scheme and to enable online access of the details of works by the Hon'ble MPs. The State/UT Nodal departments and the Nodal District Authorities have been repeatedly requested to complete the data entry and upload of details of all works undertaken since 1993. However, there are a number of deficiencies in the information generated under the existing system. Many important details such as date of recommendation of the work, date of commencement, name of implementing agency, date of receipt of UC, reasons for delay in completion of works, physical progress of works and reasons for discontinuance of works etc. have not been entered by the District Authorities. Some entries of the Reports generated are not found correct, like dates of sanction/commencement of works are earlier than the date of receipt of proposal of work from the Member. In many cases, where works are completed, these are shown as incomplete/ongoing. In other cases, up to date expenditure is not properly reflected in Works Monitoring System. All these have invited adverse remarks from the C&AG as well as from the MPLADS Parliamentary Committees.

It is reiterated that the Work Monitoring System should be updated on real time basis by the District Authorities, say, every alternate day to reflect the real ground situation.

5. Single e-portal for MPLADS.

Presently the systems being used by the Ministry namely "Fund Sanction System" and "Expenditure System" enable release of funds, data entry from MPRs received from districts and reporting of fund sanction and utilization on

weekly and monthly basis. Another system is implemented at website level i.e. **www.mplads.gov.in**. The website also hosts Works Monitoring System (WMS) and is developed using web technology and hosted at NIC Data Centre. These existing systems are, however, unable to give consolidated information regarding Govt. of India releases, expenditure and works at one place. The proposed single e-portal for MPLADS will be a role based user friendly, CMS driven integrated web application with a centralised database and the consolidated information w.r.t. Release, Expenditure and Works will always be available.

- * The system will ensure Micro (works) and Macro (Release and Expenditure) level reporting and monitoring for timely utilisation of funds.
- * The portal will capture the total available balances in Nodal Districts and Implementing Districts, thus resulting in timely monitoring of funds lying unutilised in the districts.
- * Nodal Districts will have customised role based landing page with work flow implementation including WMS and MPR integrated system.
- * The system will also interface with CPSMS for faster release of funds.
- * Showcasing of various stages of work progress and completion status through photos uploaded by district authorities from time to time.
- * To view and recommend works from the 'Shelf of Projects' kept by the Districts from a remote location.
- * A single point of reference for all stake holders – (customised for members)
- * Customised page for Hon'ble Members of Parliament.

The integrated MPLADS System is presently being developed by NIC and will become operative by next year.

6. Closing of 14th Lok Sabha accounts and old Rajya Sabha accounts and distribution of unspent balance.

(a) Distribution of unspent balance of Lok Sabha Members:

Nodal Departments of the States/UTs for MPLAD Scheme were requested to collect the information about the unspent/uncommitted balances available with the District Authorities after completion of all sanctioned works of 14th Lok Sabha and complete the process of distribution of unspent/uncommitted balances by **31st December, 2010**.

All the states except **Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Jharkhand and Maharashtra** have completed the process of distributions of funds among the sitting MPs of 15th Lok Sabha. However, despite several reminders to the Nodal Secretaries, the information from these States is still awaited.

With a view to close the account upto 14th Lok Sabha, all Nodal State Authorities were requested vide this Ministry's letter dated 16 March 2011 followed by reminders dated 15.12.2011 and 6.7.2012 to issue direction to all the District Authorities to send Monthly Progress Reports showing unspent balance as **ZERO** along with the **Final Utilization Certificate and the Final Audit Certificate**. However, compliance by the States/UTs is still awaited.

(b) Distribution of unspent Funds of Rajya Sabha Members :

It has been noticed from the Monthly Progress Reports received from the District Authorities and Performance Audit Reports received from them that a large amount of unspent balance of MPLADS funds of the Ex-Rajya Sabha MPs is awaiting distribution amongst the successor Rajya Sabha MPs despite the provision of distribution contained in MPLADS Guidelines and the circulars issued by this Ministry from time to time. The issue relating to the distribution of unspent balance is also repeatedly clarified during training workshops, review meetings etc. However, the distribution of unspent balance of Rajya Sabha MPs is not being done properly. So far, final status on distribution of unspent balance from **Goa, Orissa, Tripura, Uttrakhand and Uttar Pradesh** is still awaited.

In the 14th Bi-annual Review Meeting held on 6.2.2012, it has been pointed out that in the state of Chhattisgarh, the unspent balance of Rs 0.62 crore left by predecessor RS MPs was to be equally distributed among five successor RS MPs. Instead, the DA Bilaspur distributed the unspent amount equally between only two RS MPs, Sh. Ramdhar Kashyap and Smt. Kamla Manhar, in contravention of the provisions of the scheme. Nodal District Authorities have assured the Ministry that it will be re-distributed. However, despite repeated reminders no reply has been received in this regard.

The Nodal Secretaries of the States may direct the District Authorities of their States to adhere to the provisions of the Guidelines and circulars issued by this Ministry properly and furnish report of the distribution of unspent balance regularly.

7. Shelf of projects to be made available to the MPs by the District Authorities.

A reform circular No. 4 adding a new para No. 3.27 to the Guidelines on MPLADS requiring District Authorities to maintain a shelf of projects has been issued on 17/6/2011. According to this para, the District Authority shall maintain and make available a "Shelf of Projects" including projects for SC/ST inhabited

areas to MPs. The Shelf of Projects should be suggestive only, so that it provides, flexibility to the MP, to go beyond the list in order to meet the felt needs of the people.

States/UTs may indicate whether they have made the shelf of projects; if so a copy of the Shelf of projects may be sent to this Ministry.

8. Holding of regular MPLADS review meetings at State and District level, calling Members of Parliament to these meetings and sending the minutes to the MOSPI.

The MPLADS guidelines stipulate that the State/UT Nodal departments will be responsible for proper and effective monitoring of the scheme in the States/UTs. To this effect a committee under the Chairmanship of Chief Secretary/ Development Commissioner/ Additional Chief Secretary should review MPLADS implementation progress with the District Authorities and concerned Members of Parliament at least once a year. Similarly, the District Authority should review the MPLADS works every month with the Implementing Agencies and invite the MP concerned to such review meetings. It is however seen that either the meetings as stipulated in the guidelines are not being held at District and State levels or the minutes of these meetings are not being sent to the Ministry.

States/UTs may indicate the latest position and also ensure that the above said Review Meetings are held and Minutes are sent to the Ministry regularly.

9. Review of various Constituencies of Lok Sabha and Nodal Districts of Rajya Sabha MPs especially the better and under performing nodal districts.

The progress of implementation of the Scheme varies not only from State to State, but it is also seen that in the same state some districts are consistently performing better, whereas some are lagging behind. In constituencies/ Nodal Districts/ included in statement at **Annexure A**, no instalment of MPLADS funds could be released beyond 1st instalment of **2010-11** as the requisite documents i.e. Monthly Progress Report, Utilisation Certificate and Audit Certificate required for release of instalments have not been submitted by the District Authority. **Annexure B** shows the Constituencies/Nodal Districts which have done remarkably well in terms of fund release and utilisation. Districts which may have lagged behind as well as Districts which may have done comparatively better may share their experiences, so that constraints may be resolved and best practices are implicated.

10. Review of Physical/Financial performance of the scheme with special emphasis on delay in implementation and non-submission of complete/correct documents by the District Authorities.

Physical Status – As on 31.7.2012, 15.24 lakh works have been recommended by the Members of Parliament and District Authorities have sanctioned 13.43 lakh works since inception of the Scheme. The number of works completed have been reported as 12.08 lakh. The percentage of works completed to sanctioned works at the national level is **89.94%**. The State/UTwise details are available in respective State Profiles.

Financial Status - As on 31.7.2012, Rs. **26015.25** crore have been released since inception of the Scheme and as reported from the Districts, an expenditure of Rs. **23049.67** crore has been incurred. The unspent balance lying with the District Authorities is Rs. **3748.96** crore. The percentage of utilization over release is **88.60%**. The percentage utilization of MPLADS funds and All India Ranking of various states/UTs are indicated in the respective State profiles.

11. Action on CAG Report-latest Action Taken Note by States to be discussed

Comptroller and Auditor General of India has submitted **Audit Report No 31 of 2010-11** on Performance Audit of MPLADS covering 128 District Authorities of 35 States/UTs for the period 2004-05 to 2008-09. There are total 59 Audit Paras in the report which contain the result of the review of implementation of the MPLAD Scheme. The audit observations as contained in C&AG Report have pointed out violation of Guidelines on various aspects as well as certain irregularities in implementation of the scheme by the District Authorities/Implementing Agencies.

The Performance Audit Report was forwarded to all the States/UTs vide this Ministry's letter dated 18.04.2011 requesting the Chief Secretaries of the States/UTs to direct the District Authorities to furnish the Action Taken Report followed by repeated reminders with request to take urgent corrective measures on the issues raised in CAG report. Despite repeated requests by the Ministry, **no** reply from the state of **Jharkhand and UT of Dadar Nagar Haveli**, has been received so far.

PAC sub-committee-I has seriously viewed the Performance Report in its meetings held on 03.10.2011 and 24.10.2011 and has desired to take action against the erring officials for violation of Guidelines including recoupment of funds spent for irregular sanction, lodging FIR in case of embezzlement/serious violations and necessary disciplinary action against the officials of the District Authorities.

So far complete reply from the three UTs of **Chandigarh, Lakshadweep and Puducherry** and State of Kerala has been received on all Audit Paras. Details of Audit paras involving the States/Districts with complete/part replies received are attached at **Annexure D**.

The States are requested to examine the irregularities pointed out by the CAG expeditiously and furnish the Action Taken Report on **PRIORITY BASIS** to enable this Ministry to furnish a report to Public Accounts Committee in a **Time Bound manner**.

12. Pending Tsunami works, Bihar Floods, AILA, Sikkim/Darjeeling Earthquake, Gujarat Earthquake and Leh cloudburst.

a) Gujarat Earthquake –

An earthquake of devastating intensity rocked the State of Gujarat on 26.01.2001 causing tremendous loss of life and property in Kutch, Rajkot, Surendernagar, Surat, Patan, Ahmedabad, Banaskantha, Navasari and Porbandar Districts of Gujarat. 153 Lok Sabha MPs contributed Rs. 22.03 crores and 163 Rajya Sabha MPs contributed Rs. 26.90 crores for rehabilitation work. HUDCO and NBCC were selected as the implementing agencies.

As per the information received from government of Gujarat, a total of Rs. 9.42 Crores (Rs. 4.66 crores in respect of Lok Sabha MPs) and (Rs. 4.76 crores in respect of Rajya Sabha MPs) is available as unspent balance. The State Govt. has been requested repeatedly to furnish details of works to be undertaken with this amount but no reply has been received so far. The last reminder was sent on 05.07.2012. The State Government of Gujarat, therefore needs to take action at the earliest.

b) Tsunami Rehabilitation

On 26th December 2004, Tsunami waves triggered by an earthquake severely affected the eastern coastal region of India which mainly included Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Andaman & Nicobar Islands and Puducherry. The worst affected districts were: Nellore, Prakasam, Guntur, East Godavari, West Godavari, Krishna districts from Andhra Pradesh, Kollam and Alappuzha from Kerala, Kanyakumari, Cuddalore, Nagapattinam, Ramanathapuram, Ernakulam, Kanchipuram, Villupuram from Tamil Nadu, A&N Islands and Puducherry.

In the aftermath of this unprecedented Tsunami disaster, the Government relaxed the provision of the MPLADS Guidelines so as to enable the Members of Parliament to recommend any amount out of their entitlement to help

rehabilitation/ reconstruction works in affected areas from their MPLADS fund. 207 Lok Sabha MPs and 167 Rajya Sabha MPs consented to Rs. 22.74 crore and Rs. 31.34 crore respectively for Tsunami relief, out of which Rs. 21.89 crore was authorized by Lok Sabha Secretariat and Rs. 31.34 crore by the Rajya Sabha Secretariat.

Community infrastructural assets eligible under the MPLADS Guidelines, and approved by the Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha Committees on MPLADS, such as schools, hospitals, public health centres, community halls, fisherman multipurpose halls, cyclone shelters, desalination plants, construction of old age homes, orphanage buildings, hostels, sanitary complexes, fish landing centres, library buildings, ambulances etc., were taken up for rehabilitation in the Tsunami affected States.

As on 31.07.2012, an expenditure of Rs. 4958.61 lakh has been incurred on Tsunami Relief as per Tsunami Status Reports received from affected districts.

S. No.	State	Works				Expenditure (Rs. in Lakhs)	
		Authorised	Completed	On going	Yet not started/dropped	Estimated	Incurred
1.	Andhra Pradesh	36	35	-	1	253.00	235.47
2.	Kerala	6	6	-	-	273.00	205.98
3.	Tamil Nadu	116	114	1	1	2122.00	1971.58
4.	A&N Islands	18	16	2	-	2128.91	2003.18
5.	Puducherry	11	11	-	-	542.50	542.40
Total		187	182	3	2	5319.41	4958.61

The States of Tamil Nadu and UT of Andaman & Nicobar Islands are urged to complete the unfinished works urgently and inform the Ministry.

c) REHABILITATION WORKS IN KOSI FLOOD AFFECTED AREAS IN BIHAR

Due to breach in the Kosi river embankments on **August 18, 2008** in the State of Bihar extensive damage was caused by unprecedented and devastating floods particularly in the districts of Madhepura, Supaul, Saharsa, Purnia, and Araria, the Ministry of Home Affairs (Disaster Management-I Division) declared the natural calamity in the state of Bihar as a "Calamity of severe nature". In

response to the appeal by Hon'ble Chairman, Rajya Sabha, Hon'ble Speaker, Lok Sabha and by Shri G.K.Vasan, the then Hon'ble MOS(IC), S&PI, 156 Lok Sabha MPs and 173 Rajya Sabha MPs consented to contribute Rs. 22.05 crore and Rs. 22.81 crore respectively (Totalling Rs. **44.86 Cr**).

In consultation with Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha Committee on MPLADS, the Ministry distributed the consented amount to the State Govt. of Bihar to construct 35 Disaster-cum-Community shelters and 35 Cattle Shelters at the rate of Rs. **53.35** lakh per disaster shelter and Rs. **14.516** lakh per cattle shelters.

Progress of works undertaken is yet to be received from the State Govt. of Bihar despite of several reminders. Last reminder was sent on 25.06.2012. The State Government of Bihar is requested to furnish status report of the works undertaken urgently.

d) CYCLONE AILA –WEST BENGAL

8 Lok Sabha and 12 Rajya Sabha MPs have contributed Rs. 1.65 crore and Rs. 3.50 crore respectively (total Rs. 5.15 crore) towards Cyclone 'AILA' affected areas of West Bengal. The Ministry distributed the consented amount for execution of 138 works as per list provided by the Government of West Bengal. As per information received from the State Government, 88 works have been completed and an expenditure of Rs. 264.01 lakh has been incurred.

e) LEH CLOUDBURST

Leh Cloud burst was declared by the Ministry of Home Affairs as 'Calamity of Severe Nature'. In response to an appeal made by the Ministry, Rs. 1018 lakhs were contributed by the Hon'ble MPs for reconstruction/rehabilitation works in the cloudburst areas in Leh District of Jammu & Kashmir. Approval of both the Rajya Sabha and Lok Sabha Committees on MPLADS was obtained and communicated to the State Government for undertaking the proposed rehabilitation works namely Construction and purchase of equipment for SNM Hospital, Leh, restoration of Micro-Hydel scheme, Hunder Nubra, construction of 14 meter clear span motorable steel girder over Hunder Nallah and construction of abutment and approaches for 60 ft. span bailey bridge over Nimo Nallah at Nimo Drukpa Road, construction of city scan/MRI block of SNM Hospital Leh and Raising of abutment, construction of approaches for 80 ft. span Bailey Bridge on link road to Mankhang over Phyang Nallah.

Nodal Districts of the Hon'ble MPs were requested to transfer the committed funds to the D.C. Leh. As per the reports furnished by DC, Leh, the work of construction of C Block of SNM Hospital is in progress, Micro Hydel Scheme Hunder Nubra, City Scan/MRI Block of SNM Hospital – completed,

equipments procured. For rest of the works reports have not been received. DC, Leh may forward the latest status of the rehabilitation works urgently.

f) Sikkim Earthquake

An Earthquake on **18.09.2011** in the North Eastern region of India including Sikkim caused massive damage in the region. Govt. of India declared this disaster as a "Calamity of Severe Nature". Based on the request of Hon'ble MOS(I/C), S&PI, Rajya Sabha & Lok Sabha MPs have contributed amounts of Rs. **370** lakhs and Rs. **121** lakhs respectively from their MPLADS funds till date for reconstruction/rehabilitation works in the disaster affected areas of the state of Sikkim.

Chief Secretary, Govt. of Sikkim was requested to furnish proposal for rehabilitation/reconstruction works to be under taken from MPLADS funds in the state vide letter dated **09.01.2012**. Govt. of Sikkim furnished a proposal in March 2012 for reconstruction of some Schools in the state. However, since estimates for construction were not furnished with the proposal, the same were called for from the State Govt. vide letter dated 12.4.2012 followed by D.O. reminder dated 3.8.2012 addressed to the Chief Secretary.

No reply has yet been received. Reconstruction/rehabilitation work proposal complete with estimates may be forwarded by the State Government at the earliest.

13. Any other item

**MPLADS Funds II Installments for Lok Sabha not released -
financial year 2010-11 as on 31/07/2012**

STATE : Assam

Lok Sabha

1 Shri Ismail Hussain Khan
BARPETA BARPETA

STATE : Bihar

Lok Sabha

1 Shri Pradeep Kumar Singh
ARARIA ARARIA

2 Shri Sushil Kumar Singh
AURANGABAD AURANGABAD

3 Shri Baidyanath Prasad Mahto
VALMIKI NAGAR BETTIAH (W.CHAMPARAN)

4 Dr. Sanjay Jaiswal
PASCHIM CHAMPARAN BETTIAH (W.CHAMPARAN)

5 Smt. Putul Kumari
BANKA BANKA

6 Shri Radha Mohan Singh
PURVI CHAMPARAN MOTHIHARI (E.CHAMPARAN)

7 Shri Lalu Prasad
SARAN SARAN (CHAPRA)

8 Smt. Aswamedh Devi
UJJARPUR SAMASTIPUR

9 Shri Kirti Azad
DARBHANGA DARBANGA

10 Shri Jagdish Sharma
JAHANABAD JEHANABAD

11 Shri Nikhil Kumar Choudhary
KATIHAR KATIHAR

12 Shri Dinesh Chandra Yadav
KHAGARIA KHAGARIA

13 Shri Uma Shanker Singh
MAHARAJGANJ SIWAN

14 Shri Rajiv Ranjan Singh Lalan Singh
MUNGER MUNGER

15 Dr. Raghuvansh Prasad Singh
VAISHALI MUZAFFARPUR

STATE : Gujarat

Lok Sabha

1 Dr. Prabha Kishore Taviad
DAHOD(ST) DOHAD

**MPLADS Funds II Installments for Lok Sabha not released -
financial year 2010-11 as on 31/07/2012**

STATE : Karnataka

Lok Sabha

- | | |
|---|-----------------|
| 1 Shri H. D. Kumaraswamy
BANGALORE RURAL | RAMANAGARA |
| 2 Shri P. C. Mohan
BANGALORE CENTRAL | BANGALORE URBAN |

STATE : Maharashtra

Lok Sabha

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|
| 1 Shri Anant Gangaram Geete
RAIGAD | RAIGAD |
|---------------------------------------|--------|

STATE : Orissa

Lok Sabha

- | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| 1 Shri Nityananda Pradhan
ASKA | GANJAM |
| 2 Shri Mohan Jena
JAJPUR(SC) | JAJPUR |

STATE : Rajasthan

Lok Sabha

- | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|
| 1 Dr. C. P. Joshi
BHILWARA | BHILWARA ✓ |
| 2 Dr. Jyoti Mirdha
NAGOUR | NAGOUR |

STATE : Tamil Nadu

Lok Sabha

- | | |
|---|-----------|
| 1 Shri Dayanidhi Maran
CHENNAI CENTRAL | CHENNAI |
| 2 Shri S.S. Palanimanickam
THANJAVUR | THANJAVUR |

STATE : Tripura

Lok Sabha

- | | |
|---|---------------|
| 1 Shri Baju Ban Riyan
TRIPURA EAST(ST) | NORTH TRIPURA |
|---|---------------|

STATE : Uttar Pradesh

Lok Sabha

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| 1 Shri Rakesh Sachan
FATEHPUR | FATEHPUR |
| 2 Ku. Ratanjeet Pratap Narain Singh
KUSHI NAGAR | KUSHINAGAR (Padrauna) |

**MPLADS Funds II Installments for Lok Sabha not released -
financial year 2010-11 as on 31/07/2012**

STATE : Uttar Pradesh

3 Dr. Sanjay Singh
SULTANPUR

SULTANPUR

STATE : West Bengal

Lok Sabha

1 Shri Somendra Nath Mitra
DIAMOND HARBOUR

SOUTH TWENTY FOUR PARGANAS

2 Dr. Tarun Mandal
JOYNAGAR(SC)

SOUTH TWENTY FOUR PARGANAS

3 Smt. Deepa Dasmunsi
RAIGANJ

NORTH DINAJPUR

STATE : Delhi

Lok Sabha

1 Shri Mahabal Mishra
WEST DELHI

DELHI

2 Shri Sandeep Dikshit
EAST DELHI

DELHI

3 Smt. Krishna Tirath
NORTH WEST DELHI(SC)

DELHI

4 Shri Ajay Maken
NEW DELHI

DELHI

5 Shri Jai Prakash Agarwal
NORTH EAST DELHI

DELHI

6 Shri Ramesh Kumar
SOUTH DELHI

DELHI

7 Shri Kapil Sibal
CHANDINI CHOWK

DELHI

STATE : Lakshdweep

Lok Sabha

1 Shri Hamdullah Sayeed
LAKSHADWEEP(ST)

LAKSHADWEEP

STATE : Pondicherry

Lok Sabha

1 Shri V. Narayanasamy
PONDICHERRY

PONDICHERRY

STATE : Jharkhand

Lok Sabha

1 Shri Nishikant Dubey
GODDA

GODDA

**MPLADS Funds II Installment- Rajya Sabha not released for -
financial year 2010-11 ason 31/07/2012**

STATE : Nominated

- | | |
|--------------------------|---------|
| 1 Dr. Kapila Vatsyayan | DELHI |
| 2 Smt. Shobhana Bharatia | DELHI |
| 3 Prof M.S. Swaminathan | CHENNAI |
| 4 Shri H.K. Dua | DELHI |

STATE : Andhra Pradesh

- | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| 1 Shri Jairam Ramesh | ADILABAD |
| 2 Dr K.V.P. Ramachandra Rao | KRISHNA |

STATE : Assam

- | | |
|---------------------------|--------|
| 1 Shri Bhubaneswar Kalita | KAMRUP |
| 2 Shri Biswajit Daimary | KAMRUP |

STATE : Bihar

- | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 Shri Mahendra Prasad | JEHANABAD |
| 2 Dr. C.P. Thakur | PATNA |
| 3 Shri N. K. Singh | BANKA |
| 4 Shri Ramchandra Prasad Singh | District Not Opted |
| 5 Shri Prem Chand Gupta | PATNA |

STATE : Goa

- | | |
|------------------------|-----------|
| 1 Shri Shanta Ram Naik | SOUTH GOA |
|------------------------|-----------|

STATE : Gujarat

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1 Shri Arun Maharajkishan Jaitley | KHEDA (NADIAD) |
| 2 Prof. Alkaben Balarambhai Kshatriya | MAHESANA |
| 3 Shri Vijayakumar Ramnikal Rupani | RAJKOT |
| 4 Shri Parshottam Khodabhai Rupala | AMRELI |
| 5 Shri Bharatsinh Prabhatsi Parmar | BROACH |

STATE : Karnataka

- | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 Shri Oscar Fernandes | UDUPI |
| 2 Shri S.M. Krishna | BANGALORE URBAN |
| 3 Dr. Prabhakar Kore | BELGAUM |

**MPLADS Funds II Installment- Rajya Sabha not released for -
financial year 2010-11 ason 31/07/2012**

STATE : Kerala

- | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1 Shri Vayalar Ravi | ALAPPUZHA |
| 2 Shri P.R. Rajan | THRISSOOR |
| 3 Shri M.P. Achuthan | THIRUVANANTHAPURAM |

STATE : Maharashtra

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 Shri Balavant Parshuram Apte | MUMBAI CITY |
| 2 Shri Rajeev Shukla | MUMBAI SUBURBAN |
| 3 Shri Vilasrao Dagadojirao Deshmukh | LATUR |

STATE : Orissa

- | | |
|--------------------|--------|
| 1 Shri Balbir Punj | KHURDA |
|--------------------|--------|

STATE : Punjab

- | | |
|----------------------|-----------|
| 1 Shri Ashwani Kumar | GURDASPUR |
|----------------------|-----------|

STATE : Rajasthan

- | | |
|----------------------------|--------|
| 1 Dr. Gyan Prakash Pilania | JAIPUR |
| 2 Shri Narendra Budania | CHURU |

STATE : Tamil Nadu

- | | |
|----------------------------|---------|
| 1 SMT. Kani mozhi | CHENNAI |
| 2 Shri T.M. Selvaganapathi | SALEM |

STATE : Uttar Pradesh

- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1 Shri Amar Singh | AZAMGARH |
| 2 Shri Satish Chandra Misra | LUCKNOW |
| 3 Dr. Akhilesh Das Gupta | LUCKNOW |
| 4 Shri Mohammed Adeeb | GAUTAMBUDHNAGAR |

STATE : West Bengal

- | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1 Shri Arjun Kumar Sengupta | KOLKATTA |
| 2 Shri Swapan Sadhan Bose | KOLKATTA |
| 3 Shri Moinul Hassan Ahamed | MURSHIDABAD |
| 4 Shri Saman Pathak | DARJEELING |

**MPLADS Funds II Installment- Raja Sabha not released for -
financial year 2010-11 ason 31/07/2012**

STATE : West Bengal

- | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|
| 5 Shri Mohammed Amin | MURSHIDABAD |
| 6 Shri Ram Chandra Singh | BURDWAN |
| 7 Dr. Barun Mukherji | KOLKATTA |

STATE : Delhi

- | | |
|--------------------------|-------|
| 1 Dr. Karan Singh | DELHI |
| 2 Shri Janardhan Dwivedi | DELHI |
| 3 Shri Parvez Hashmi | DELHI |

STATE : Pondicherry

- | | |
|------------------|-------------|
| 1 Shri P. Kannan | PONDICHERRY |
|------------------|-------------|

STATE : Chhattisgarh

- | | |
|-----------------------|------------|
| 1 Shri Shrigopal Vyas | MAHASAMUND |
|-----------------------|------------|

STATE : Jharkhand

- | | |
|-----------------------|---------|
| 1 Shri S.S. Ahluwalia | DEOGHAR |
|-----------------------|---------|

No.	Constituency	Name of Member
15	<i>Nodal Disrtict : GUNA</i>	
16	GUNA	Shri Jyotiraditya Madhavrao Scind
16	<i>Nodal Disrtict : INDORE</i>	
17	INDORE	Smt. Sumitra Mahajan
17	<i>Nodal Disrtict : RAISEN</i>	
18	VIDISHA	Smt. Sushma Swaraj
18	<i>Nodal Disrtict : SAGAR</i>	
19	SAGAR	Shri Bhoopendra Singh
19	<i>Nodal Disrtict : UJJAIN</i>	
20	UJJAIN(SC)	Shri Premchand Guddu

State Name: Maharashtra

20	<i>Nodal Disrtict : BEED</i>	
21	BEED	Shri Gopinath Pandurang Munde
21	<i>Nodal Disrtict : GARCHIROLI</i>	
22	GADCHIROLI-CHIMUR(ST)	Shri Marotrao Sainuji Kowase
22	<i>Nodal Disrtict : PUNE</i>	
23	BARAMATI	Smt. Supriya Sadanand Sule
24	MAVAL	Shri Gajanan Dharmshi Babar
25	SHIRUR	Shri Shivaji Adhalrao Patil
23	<i>Nodal Disrtict : SANGLI</i>	
26	SANGLI	Shri Pratik Prakashbapu Patil

State Name: Mizoram

24	<i>Nodal Disrtict : AIZAWL</i>	
27	MIZORAM (ST)	Shri C. L. Ruala

State Name: Nagaland

25	<i>Nodal Disrtict : DIMAPUR</i>	
28	NAGALAND	Shri C. M. Chang

State Name: Orissa

26	<i>Nodal Disrtict : BOLANGIR</i>	
29	BOLANGIR	Shri Kalikesh Narayan Singh Deo
27	<i>Nodal Disrtict : KEONJHAR</i>	
30	KEONJHAR(ST)	Shri Yashbant Narayan Singh Lag

State Name: Punjab

28	<i>Nodal Disrtict : HOSHLARPUR</i>	
31	HOSHIARPUR(SC)	Smt. Santosh Chowdhary
29	<i>Nodal Disrtict : PATIALA</i>	
32	PATIALA	Smt. Preet Kaur
30	<i>Nodal Disrtict : ROOPNAGAR</i>	

<i>Sl. No.</i>	<i>Constituency</i>	<i>Name of Member</i>
33	ANANDPUR SAHIB	Shri Ravneet Singh
31	<i>Nodal Disrtict : SANGRUR</i>	
34	SANGRUR	Shri Vijay Inder Singla
32	<i>Nodal Disrtict : TARN TARAN</i>	
35	KHADOOR SAHIB	Dr. Rattan Singh Ajnala

State Name: Rajasthan

33	<i>Nodal Disrtict : JHUNJHUNU</i>	
36	JHUNJHUNU	Shri Sheesh Ram Ola
34	<i>Nodal Disrtict : KARALI</i>	
37	KARALI-DHOLPUR(SC)	Shri Khiladi Lal Bairwa

State Name: Sikkim

35	<i>Nodal Disrtict : EAST DISTRICT</i>	
38	SIKKIM	Shri Prem Das Rai

State Name: Uttar Pradesh

36	<i>Nodal Disrtict : BAGHPAT</i>	
39	BAGHPAT	Shri Ajit Singh
37	<i>Nodal Disrtict : BARABANKI</i>	
40	BARABANKI(SC)	Shri P. L. Punia
38	<i>Nodal Disrtict : GHAZIPUR</i>	
41	GHAZIPUR	Shri Radhey Mohan Singh
39	<i>Nodal Disrtict : JAUNPUR</i>	
42	MACHHLISHAHR(SC)	Shri Soraj Tufani

State Name: West Bengal

40	<i>Nodal Disrtict : COOCH BEHAR</i>	
43	COOCHBEHAR(SC)	Shri Nripendra Nath Roy
41	<i>Nodal Disrtict : HOOGHLY</i>	
44	HOOGHLY	Dr. Ratna De (Nag)
45	SREERAMPUR	Shri Kalyan Banerjee
42	<i>Nodal Disrtict : NORTH TWENTY FOUR PARGA</i>	
46	BARASAT	Dr. Kakoli Ghosh Dastidar
43	<i>Nodal Disrtict : PURBA MEDINIPUR</i>	
47	KANTHI	Shri Sisir Kumar Adhikari
48	TAMLUK	Shri Suvendu Adhikari

State Name: Daman & Diu

44	<i>Nodal Disrtict : DAMAN & DIU</i>	
49	DAMAN & DIU	Shri Lalubhai Babubhai Patel

State Name: Jharkhand

45	<i>Nodal Disrtict : DHANBAD</i>	
----	---------------------------------	--

Sl. No.	Constituency	Name of Member
---------	--------------	----------------

50	DHANBAD	Shri Pashupati Nath Singh
----	---------	---------------------------

46 Nodal District : RANCHI

51	RANCHI	Shri Subodh Kant Sahay
----	--------	------------------------

1. Nodal District : JHARKHAND

L. G. Indraprastha Singh Park

State Capital : Ranchi

2. Nodal District : JHARKHAND

1. Dr. Manoj Kumar Singh

2. Mr. Subodh Kant Sahay

3. Nodal District : JHARKHAND

3. Nodal District : JHARKHAND

4. Nodal District : JHARKHAND

State Capital : Ranchi

4. Nodal District : JHARKHAND

1. Shri Manoj Kumar Singh

State Capital : Ranchi

5. Nodal District : JHARKHAND

2. Shri Subodh Kant Sahay

State Capital : Ranchi

5. Nodal District : JHARKHAND

3. Shri Manoj Kumar Singh

- 21 -

**1st Installment released during Financial Year 2012-13 for Rajya Sabha
As on 1.8.2012**

Sl. No.	Number of Member
----------------	-------------------------

State Name : Andhra Pradesh

1. Nodal District : *VISAKHAPATNAM*

1. Dr. Thikkavarapu Subba Rami Reddi

State Name : Assam

2. Nodal District : *KAMRUP METROPOLITAN*

2. Dr. Manmohan Singh

3. Shri Birendra Prasad Baishya

State Name : Madhya Pradesh

3. Nodal District : *BHOPAL*

4. Dr. Chandan Mitra

State Name : Maharashtra

4. Nodal District : *MUMBAI SUBURBAN*

5. Shri Hussain Umar Dalwai

State Name : Mizoram

5. Nodal District : *AIZAWL*

6. Shri Lalhming Liana

State Name : Nagaland

6. Nodal District : *DIMAPUR*

7. Shri Khekiho Zhimomi

State Name : Punjab

7. Nodal District : *HOSHIARPUR*

8. Shri Avinash Rai Khanna

9. Smt. Ambika Soni

8. Nodal District : *SANGRUR*

10. Shri Sukhdev Singh Dhindsa

9. Nodal District : *TARN TARN*

11. Dr. M.S. Gill

State Name : Rajasthan

10. Nodal District : *AJMER*

12. Dr. Prabha Thakur

State Name : Sikkim

11. Nodal District : *EAST DISTRICT*

13. Shri O.T. Lepcha

State Name : Tamil Nadu

9. Nodal District : *CHENNAI*

14. Shri A. William Rabi Bernard

State Name : Uttar Pradesh

10. Nodal District : *MAU*

15. Shri Salim Ansari

State Name : West Bengal

11. Nodal District : *COOCH BEHAR*

16. Shri Tarini Kanta Roy

12. Nodal District : *KOLKATTA*

17. Shri Debabrata Bandyopadhyay

Recent modifications made in the MPLADS Guidelines (Upto August 2012)

Various reform circulars and clarifications have been issued in the meanwhile. In brief, the following modifications have been broadly made:-

- (i) MPs have been allowed to spend a maximum of Rs. 10 lakh per year OR the consolidated entitled amount of their balance tenure, effective from the financial year 2011-12, at any time from their MPLADS funds for giving assistance to the physically challenged persons for purchase of tri-cycles and artificial limbs after relaxing the provision of item No.11 of Annexure-II of the MPLADS guidelines. All such requests are required to be examined and approved by the Chief Medical Officer of the district.

It has also been decided to provide Battery Operated Motorized Wheelchair to the deserving persons, within the overall maximum limit of Rs. 10 lakhs per year meant for the welfare of the differently abled persons from the MPLADS Funds and subject to the approval by the Committee under the Chief Medical Officer (CMO) of the District to ensure proper eligibility. The District Authority will be involved in the selection of such eligible persons. The Committee will also certify the reasonability of the rate. No recurring expenses will be admissible.

- (ii) Purchase of computers is already permissible for government and government aided educational institutions. Components and training to two teachers per school as resource person, MS-office Software (Standard Edition with Microsoft License Agreement) with media which comprises MS-Word, MS-Excel, MS-Power Point, MS-Access, MS-Outlook as per DGS&D rate contracts is also permissible.
- (iii) Para-2.9 of the guidelines has been modified to allow MPs to contribute MPLADS funds, to a place outside that State/UT or outside the constituency within the state or both, the MP can recommend eligible works, under these Guidelines upto a maximum of Rs.10 lakh in a financial year. Such a gesture on the part of an MP will promote national unity, harmony, and fraternity among the people, at the grass roots level.
- (iv) Release of advance to the implementing agencies has been made more liberal. Para-4.15 has been modified and district authorities can now release 75% of the estimated cost of a sanctioned work in advance as a first installment, if the implementing agency is a Government Agency, and 25% as second installment after sufficient progress has been achieved.

In case of all MPLAD works upto Rs.2 lakh being undertaken by Government Agencies, the entire amount shall be released as advance in a single installment. In cases even exceeding this amount, if the State Government rules permit giving advance of 100%, then the same would be applicable to the MPLADS work also.

In case of MPLAD works, where either the user agency or the implementing agency is private, the district authorities are authorized to release funds upto 60% of the sanctioned amount, as first installment and balance amount of 40% would be released as second/third installment as follows:-

- (a) 25% after 3/4th of the work is over and
 - (b) Last 15% after satisfactory completion of works.
- (v) A **new para-3.25** has been added allowing operation of ambulance/hearse services through private organizations as follows:-
- a) Ambulance/Hearse vans will be purchased with the recommendation of the CMO/Civil Surgeon/District Magistrate on the proposal of the Member of Parliament;
 - b) The ownership of the ambulance/Hearse vans so purchased would rest with the District Authority/CMO/Civil Surgeon and will be under the general supervision of the CMO/Civil Surgeon. The CMO/Civil Surgeon may outsource it for running/operation for a two years period at a time to National/State level trusts/societies of repute under a management contract after following a transparent process and on the recommendation of a 3 member Committee consisting of CMO/Civil Surgeon and two other representatives of District Magistrate and duly approved by District Magistrate;
 - c) The said Trust/Society operating the ambulance/Hearse van would be responsible for maintenance, POL and driver and user charges would be fixed by the District Authority (on the recommendation of a committee) who would be responsible to ensure that the charges so fixed are reasonable and affordable for the common man;
 - d) The District Collector/Deputy Commissioner/ District Magistrate shall monitor the services provided, by these Ambulances/Hearses vans, to ensure maximum benefit to the public; and
 - e) Each Ambulance/Hearse van so purchased, shall have bold markings on both sides, stating as:
'Ambulance/Hearse Van, purchased with Government of India, MPLADS Funds, contributed by.....MP.'
- The above provisions have further been modified by reform circular No.9. According to the revised provision, the District Authority is now required to put up public notices at prominent places in the Government hospital, Municipal/Panchayat Offices, etc. together with contact numbers, about the provision of ambulance by the Member of Parliament from his/her MPLAD Scheme funds to enable the public to avail the services of the ambulance in the event of an emergency and to lodge complaints in the cases of misuse or non-use so as to enable the District Authority to take necessary action after proper enquiry into those complaints.
- (vi) Part of para-3.21 relating to trusts/societies of the guidelines has been modified. According to the revised provision, not more than Rs.25 lakh, can be spent from MPLADS fund, for one or more works of a particular Society/Trust in the lifetime of that society/trust. If a Society has already availed of

MPLADS funds up to Rs. 25 lakh, no more funds can be recommended for that Society/Trust under the Scheme. An MP can recommend funds, only upto Rs.50 lakh in all, in a financial year from MPLADS funds for works to Societies/Trusts.

- (vii) Till recently, MPs were allowed to make recommendation for any work/project costing less than Rs.1 lakh. A **new para-3.26** has been added to make Rs.1 lakh as the minimum amount to be sanctioned under MPLAD Scheme for any project or work. If, however, the District Authority is of the considered view that the work of less amount will be beneficial to the public at large, he/she may sanction the same, even if the cost of the work is less than Rs. 1 lakh.
- (viii) Para-2.6 has been amended to allow MPs to recommend works upto the annual entitlement during the financial year instead of the present limit of 90 days.
- (ix) Para-3.12 has been amended to allow the district authorities to sanction a recommended work within 75 days of the receipt of the recommendation. He/She shall, however, inform MPs regarding rejection, if any, within 45 days from the date of receipt of recommendations, with reasons thereof.
- (x) A **new para-3.27** has been added requiring district authorities to maintain and make available a "Shelf of Projects" including projects for SC/ST inhabited areas to MPs. The Shelf of Projects should be suggestive only, so that it provides, flexibility to the MP, to go beyond the list in order to meet the felt needs of the people.
- (xi) With the approval of the Cabinet and Department of Expenditure, the annual entitlement of MPs has been increased from Rs.2 crore to Rs.5 crore. Necessary changes have been reflected in paras 2.1 to 2.3 of the guidelines.
- (xii) A **new para-3.29** has been added for purchase of books for schools, colleges and public libraries. MPs are now allowed to make recommendation for purchase of books upto Rs.22 lakh. The recommendations made by the Hon'ble MPs will be examined/approved by a Committee consisting of:-
 - (i) District Education Officer - Chairman
 - (ii) A representative of District Magistrate/District Collector;
 - (iii) Two Principals/Head Masters; and
 - (iv) Co-opt Head Master/Principal of the School/college/institution to whom the books are proposed to be supplied.
- (xiii) Para-4.17 relating to contingency expenses has been reworded as administrative expenses and the fund has been raised from 0.5% to 2%, which will be distributed in the following manner:-

(a) For Lok Sabha MPs, on receipt of each installment of MPLADS funds, the Nodal District Authority shall immediately divide 1% (out of the 2% earmarked for Administrative expenses) between the State /UT Nodal Department, and itself, in the ratio 0.2: 0.8.

(b) The remaining 1% shall be equally distributed among all Implementing Districts within the constituency of the MP, including the Nodal district as an Implementing District.

(c) For Rajya Sabha MPs, on receipt of each installment of MPLADS funds, the Nodal District Authority shall immediately divide 1% (out of the 2% earmarked for Administrative expenses) between the State /UT Nodal Department, and itself, in the ratio 0.2 : 0.8. The remaining 1% shall be retained by the Nodal District.

(d) In the case of nominated Members of the Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha, the entire 2% Administrative charges will be retained by the Nodal District.

The Administrative Expenses shall be utilized in the following manner:

(a) By the States/UTs Nodal Department, for executing the following activities,

- (i) Third party inspection/physical audit and quality checks, and
- (ii) Monitoring of works at state level.

iii) Translating the Members of Parliament Local Area Development Scheme Guidelines in their respective regional languages, except in Hindi

(b) By the Nodal District, for executing the following activities,

- i) Hiring of services/consultants for handling Accounts, Data Entry, uploading of data on website, etc.
- ii) Creating awareness among public about the Scheme and dissemination of information of ongoing and completed works,
- iii) Purchase of stationary,
- iv) Office equipment including computer hardware /software for MPLADS planning/monitoring (excluding laptop),
- v) Telephone/fax charges, postal charges,
- vi) Expenses incurred (a) to make MPLADS Works Monitoring Software and other MPLADS portal(s) operational, (b) to get the audit of the accounts done and obtain audit certificate, and
- vii) Out sourcing of technical estimates (if found necessary) in specialized cases.

(c) By the Implementing Districts for executing the following activities,

- i) Creating awareness among public about the Scheme and dissemination of information of ongoing and completed works,
- ii) Purchase of stationary,
- iii) Office equipment including computer hardware/software for MPLADS planning/monitoring (excluding laptop),

- iv) Telephone/fax charges, postal charges,
- v) Hiring of services/consultants, for maintenance of accounts and monitoring of works, and
- vi) Out sourcing of technical estimates (if found necessary) in specialized cases.

A separate bank account and office cash book for such expenditure incurred during a year under MPLAD Scheme, has to be maintained by the Nodal Department at State level, as also by Nodal District and by the implementing district.

- (xiv) With the increase of annual allocation of MPs from Rs.2 crore to Rs.5 crore, paras 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 have been modified. The provisions now stand are as follows:-

“4.1 The annual entitlement of Rs 5 crore shall be released, in two equal instalments of Rs 2.5 crore each, by Government of India directly to the District Authority of the Member of Parliament concerned.

4.2 At the time of the constitution of Lok Sabha, and election of a Rajya Sabha Member, the first instalment of Rs. 2.5 crore shall be released to the District Authority without the documents stipulated under para 4.3 below. The subsequent instalments of the continuing Members of Rajya Sabha and Lok Sabha will be released as per the eligibility criteria indicated in Paragraph 4.3. The District Authority shall maintain a separate bank account for each MP for the purpose of MPLAD Scheme. Physical and Financial Progress for each MP (sitting and former) will be sent by the District Authorities, separately as per Annexure VI.

4.3 The first instalment of Rs. 2.5 crore will be released in the beginning of the financial year. In the remaining years, the first installment will be released in the beginning of the financial year subject to the condition that the second installment of the previous year was released for the MP concerned and also subject to furnishing of the provisional Utilization Certificate of previous year covering at least 80% of the expenditure of the first installment of the previous year.

The second installment of the MPLADS funds will be released subject to the fulfillment of the following eligibility criteria:-

- i) the unsanctioned balance amount available in the account of the District Authority after taking into account the cost of all the work sanctioned is less than Rs.1 crore;
- (ii) the unspent balance of fund of the MP Concerned is less than Rs. 2.5 crore; and
- (iii) Utilization Certificate of the previous financial year and the Audit Certificate for the funds released for MP concerned in the year prior to the previous year have been furnish by District Authority (in format at Annexure viii & ix of the guidelines respectively”.

- (xv) Purchase of mobile library for educational institutes belonging to Central/State/UT and Local self government has now been made permissible as **per newly added para-3.31.**
- (xvi) As suggested by Vice Chairman, National Disaster Management Authority, para-2.7 of the guidelines relating to National Calamity has been amended to include man-made disaster and the related provision in Annexures-II & IV (E). The amount so transferred from the nodal district to the natural calamity affected district may be shown in the UCs as well as MPRs as amount transferred to natural calamity affected district for rehabilitation work. The Works Completion Report, Audit Certificate and Utilization Certificate for such works and funds will be provided by the District Authority of the affected districts directly to the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation for overall reconciliation. No separate UC/AC/Completion Report in respect of the transferred amount would be required from the Nodal District authority in this regard while processing release of subsequent installment of MPLADS funds. Paras 2.7 and 2.8 have accordingly been modified.
- (xvii) The sub-Committee I of the Public Accounts Committee in its meeting held on 24/10/2011 had recommended that copies of the work completion report of the recommended work should also be sent to the concerned Member of Parliament by the District Authority. Necessary modification has been made in the guidelines.
- (xviii) As suggested by the Chairman MPLADS Committee, Rajya Sabha, a special group was constituted to recommend areas of improvement in regard to audit, accounts and related matters. The Committee has submitted its report and based on the various improvements/changes suggested have been taken into account while modifying chapter-5 on accounting procedure.
- (xix) New borings in view of the existing non-functional hand-pumps using the reusable components of the parts of the non-functional hand pumps are permissible subject to the following conditions:-
- (a) Such new borings may be allowed subject to techno-economic feasibility and as per laid-down procedures of the State/UT concerned.
 - (b) All usable components/parts of the defunct hand pumps must be used in the new borings.
 - (c) Such new borings should be only for water required for drinking and household purposes and in no circumstances should water be diverted for any purpose such as agricultural, industrial, commercial, horticultural etc.
 - (d) Such new borings may be allowed only in need-based cases and not as a matter of routine and it should in no case be detrimental to the water table.
 - (e) The proposals for such new borings should satisfy all other conditions stipulated in the Guidelines on MPLADS.
- (xx) As per the instruction of the Ministry of Water Resources, their specific clearance would invariably be required before sanctioning any irrigation, flood control structure/project on any river within 8 Kms of international border. A **new para-3.33** has been added to cover this requirement.

- (xxi) Para 3.17.1 has been added to allow convergence of MPLADS with MGNREGA
- (xxii) Para 3.17.2 has been added to allow convergence of MPLADS with PYKKA and Urban Sports Infrastructure Scheme (USIS of M/Youth Affairs and Sports.
- (xxiii) Para 4.10.1 has been added to make it mandatory to complete the work within 18 months from the date of demitting office in case of Rajya Sabha MPs or dissolution of Lok Sabha. DA are now required to settle and close the account of the concerned MP after completing all the pending eligible works in another 3 months time.
- (xxiv) Para 3.34 has been added for setting up a Facilitation Centre at a cost of Rs. 5 lakhs in the Nodal District for which space/room would be provided by the DC/DM in the premises of Collectorate/DRDA. This has been done to provide all the information to the MPs at one place relevant for the effective implementation of the Scheme.
- (xxv) Para 3.35 has been added to allow construction of Railway Halt Station to facilitate the local community for boarding/deboarding from the trains.
- (xxvi) Para 3.36 has been added in order to inculcate a culture of innovation through institution of national awards with one-MP-One-Idea. There will be three awards of Rs. 2.5 lakhs, 1.5 lakhs and one lakhs to be selected by a Committee for best innovative ideas.
- (xxvii) Para 3.37 has been added to provide assistance to the aided institutions.
- (xxviii) Para 3.38 has been added to allow assistance to Bar Associations for construction of building of the Association provided the land for it belongs to the Central, State/UT or local self-Government and subject to the provisions of para 3.21 of the MPLADS Guidelines.
- (xxix) Para 3.38.1 has been added to allow MPs to recommend their MPLADS funds to Bar Association Library for purchase of books upto Rs. 50000/- per annum for Lower and District Courts (courts at Tehsil/Sub-division/District level).. This will be subject to para 3.38 and para 3.21 of the MPLADS Guidelines

**STATUS ON C&AG PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT NO 31 OF
2010-11 AS ON 31 July 2012**

Sr No	State/UT	No of Audit paras CAG Report 2011	No of paras - complete reply recd	No of paras - Part reply recd	No of paras - No reply recd
1.	Andhra Pradesh	19	08	11	-
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	17	08	09	-
3.	Assam	21	01	20	-
4.	Bihar	22	03	19	-
5.	Chhattisgarh	13	06	07	-
6.	Goa	10	09	01	-
7.	Gujarat	13	11	02	-
8.	Himachal Pradesh	14	11	03	-
9.	Haryana	18	12	06	-
10.	J&K	23	14	09	-
11.	Jharkhand	22	-	-	22
12.	Karnataka	17	05	08	04
13.	Kerala	17	17	-	-
14.	Madhya Pradesh	21	07	14	-
15.	Maharashtra	16	06	10	-
16.	Manipur	15	03	12	-
17.	Meghalaya	21	17	04	-
18.	Mizoram	20	18	02	-
19.	Nagaland	20	17	03	-

21	Orissa	21	02	19	-
21	Punjab	13	12	01	-
22	Rajasthan	19	02	17	-
23	Sikkim	12	10	02	-
24	Tamil Nadu	24	-	24	-
25	Tripura	15	10	05	-
26	Uttar Pradesh	24	05	17	02
27	Uttrakhand	15	12	03	-
28	West Bengal	21	15	06	-
29	A&N Islands	17	08	09	-
30	Chandigarh	06	06	-	-
31	D&N Haveli	06	-	-	06
32	Daman & Diu	12	10	02	-
33	Delhi	12	07	05	-
34	Lakshdweep	09	09	-	-
35	Pondicherry	13	13	-	-
	Total	578	294	250	34

Note – Reply not received - 01 State and 01 UT (**Jharkhand, and D&N Haveli**)

Complete reply received – Chandigarh, Kerala, Lakshadweep and Puducherry.

Note – Reminders are being issued regularly.

9

पंकज जैन, आई.ए.एस.
विशेष सचिव
PANKAJ JAIN : A.S.
Special Secretary



भारत सरकार
Government of India
सांख्यिकी एवं कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन मंत्रालय
Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation
सरदार पटेल भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली - 110001
Sardar Patel Bhavan, Sansad Marg, New Delhi - 110 001
फोन / Tel. : 23344551 फैक्स / Fax : 23362878
E-mail : as-mospi@nic.in

D.O. No. C-42/2011-MPLADS

Dated 2nd July, 2012

Dear Sh Patraik,

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten initials]

As you may be aware, this Ministry is monitoring the Members of Parliament Local Area Development Scheme (MPLADS). The main objective of the Scheme is to enable MPs to recommend works of developmental nature with emphasis on the creation of durable community assets based on the locally felt needs to be taken up in their Constituencies for the public at large. Accordingly, right from inception of the Scheme, durable assets of national priorities viz., drinking water, primary education, public health, sanitation and roads, etc., are being created. However, concern has been expressed by a large number of MPs, both the Committees of Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha on MPLADS for slow implementation of works despite the MPs nominating projects against their entitlement. It has also been expressed that release of further instalments of funds gets generally delayed due to non-submission of UCs/ACs and eligible MPRs by the District authorities.

2. I would like to inform you that as per para 2.6 of the MPLAD guidelines (copy of revised para 2.6 is enclosed) an MP can recommend projects in full entitlement of Rs. 5 crores right at the beginning or any time of the year and as per para 3.7/para 4.13 guidelines (copy enclosed) the District Authority can sanction all the projects recommended to full entitlement of Rs. 5 crores without even waiting for matching release of funds from Central Government. Since the MPLAD Scheme funds are non-lapsable, the funds could anyway be released subsequently on fulfillment of guidelines on release (copy enclosed).

3. You may appreciate that since the MPLADS funds are non-lapsable in nature and since there is no uncertainty about the availability of funds in respect of due installments, the District Authorities should not hold up either sanction or hold up actual implementation of recommended work on the pretext of lack of release of funds from Central Government. I request you to direct the District Authorities for processing sanction/implementation of work upto the full entitlement of the MP for the concerned year without waiting for physical availability of MPLADS Funds. The full entitlement of an MP for any year is governed by para 4.5 (copy enclosed) which gives the full entitlement for less than 3 months, upto 9 months and more than 9 months.

4. This issue was raised by the Lok Sabha Committee on MPLADS on 22.6.2012 who endorsed the above line for action. Incidentally, since this will increase the number of


4

projects being implemented at any given point of time vis-à-vis available funds, hence, the eligibility for further installments of release will come in earlier thus speeding up implementation.

With regards,

Encl. para 2.6, 3.7, 4.13 & 4.5 and also guidelines on release of MPLAD funds.

Yours sincerely,


(Pankaj Jain)

Category	Eligibility
Category I	Nil
Category II	50% of the annual allocation
Category III	100% of the annual allocation

Extracts of paras 2.6, 3.7, 4.5 and 4.13 of the MPLADS Guidelines

2.6 Recommendation/Sanction of the works: "Each MP will recommend works up to the annual entitlement during the financial year in the format at Annex-III to the concerned District Authority. The District Authority will get the eligible sanctioned works executed as per the established procedure of the State Government". (in the matter of technical sanction, tender/non-tender, schedule of rates, etc. However, powers to grant administrative sanction/approval will continue to remain with District Authority

3.7 The District Authority may sanction works as per the recommendation of the MP up to the full entitlement. However, the release of funds will be regulated as specified in these Guidelines.

4.5 The entitlement of funds of a Rajya Sabha, MP for a particular year is determined as under:

Period in the financial year as MP	Entitlement
Less than 3 months	Nil
Up to 9 months	50% of the annual allocation
More than 9 months	100% of the annual allocation

4.13 The District Authority can sanction works up to the entitlement of the MP for that year without even physical availability of funds. Funds will be released by the Government as per the eligibility criteria stipulated in paragraphs 4.2, 4.3 and 4.5 above.